September 17, 2018

The Honorable Mitch McConnell Majority Leader U. S. Senate Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Paul Ryan Speaker of the House U. S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515 The Honorable Chuck Schumer Minority Leader U. S. Senate Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi Minority Leader U. S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Sirs and Madam,

On behalf of the undersigned organizations and the companies we represent, with both an online and physical presence, we oppose legislation that would erode the progress towards tax parity that was handed down by the United States Supreme Court in the June 2018 *Wayfair* decision.

Over the better part of a decade, the stakeholders listed below have undertaken significant efforts to establish economic parity between online and brick-and-mortar sellers that would better reflect the changing dynamics of today's omnichannel marketplace. In the June 2018 *Wayfair* ruling, the U.S. Supreme Court struck down the outdated and arbitrary physical nexus standard, stating it was "unsound and incorrect". In its place, the Court pointed to the sufficient economic nexus standard, paired with a prohibition against imposing undue burden on businesses. The Court also recognized the South Dakota statute at question in the litigation as the standard bearer.

Since the *Wayfair* decision was handed down, the states have been undertaking an orderly and transparent process to implement policies based on this new standard. As issues related to implementation arise, they are being addressed by state governments. Furthermore, the states are aware of the need to follow the prescription laid out by the Supreme Court, or else they leave themselves open to further litigation that could undermine the ruling that we have all worked for decades to obtain.

At this time, there are no states collecting retroactively under the *Wayfair* standard. Furthermore, we do not see any state attempting to collect retroactively using the increased authority granted by the Supreme Court. States are addressing the decision judiciously, based on each individual state's legislative calendar, existing policies and appetite for collection and simplification. Finally, the Court commended the South Dakota economic nexus standard of 200 transactions or \$100,000 in sales as an appropriate standard. Given that this issue is about each state's right to control its budget and revenue authority, we believe that this is a more fitting standard than a national small seller limit that would be filled with legal and implementational problems.

We strongly believe that the time for Congress to act was prior to the *Wayfair* decision and has now passed. For Congress to insert themselves post-ruling only creates additional uncertainty and further complicates the implementation process, while undermining the level playing field created by the *Wayfair* decision. If in the future, tangible, not speculative, problems arise from state implementation

of the new standard, we would welcome the opportunity to work with Congress to address those concerns.

Sincerely,

- National Trade Associations: American Veterinary Medical Association Food Marketing Institute Home Furnishings Association International Council of Shopping Centers Institute of Real Estate Management (IREM) Jewelers of America Nareit National Association of Realtors® National Association of Wholesaler-Distributors National Grocers Association National Retail Federation National Ski & Snowboard Retailers Association National Sporting Goods Association **Retail Industry Leaders Association** The Real Estate Roundtable
- State Trade Associations: Alabama Retail Association Arizona Retailers Association Arkansas Grocers and Retail Merchant Association California Retailers Association **Connecticut Retail Merchant Association** Colorado Retail Council Florida Retail Federation **Georgia Retailers** Idaho Retailers Association Illinois Retail Merchants Association Indiana Retail Council **Kentucky Retail Federation** Louisiana Retailers Association Maryland Retailers Association Michigan Retailers Association Minnesota Retailers Association **Missouri Retailers Association** Missouri Tire Industry Association Nebraska Retail Federation New Jersey Retail Merchants Association New Mexico Retail Association North Carolina Retail Merchants Association North Dakota Retail Association **Ohio Council of Retail Merchants Oklahoma Retail Merchants Association**

Pennsylvania Retailers Association Retail Association of Maine Retail Association of Nevada Retail Council of New York State Retailers Association of Massachusetts South Carolina Retail Association South Dakota Retailers Association Tennessee Retail Association Texas Retailers Association Utah Food Industry Association Utah Retail Merchants Association Virginia Retail Federation Virginia Retail Merchants Association Washington Retail Association